

ROAD 2382
2nd Public Meeting Minutes

26/10/2006
PLOT 160
HWJ Timber Traders
Doringkloof East

Type of meeting: Public Meeting to Discuss Issues Raised by the Interested and Affected Parties.

Project Team:

- Developer – Gauteng Department of Transport (Chris Buitendag)
- Civil Engineers - Nyeleti Consulting (Mongamo Jantjies)
- Environmental Consultants - Bokamoso Landscape Architects and Environmental Consultants (Lizelle Gregory)

Agenda topics

1. Welcome / Introduction

There is currently major dust pollution on Road 2382 and many people want the road to be tarred. We, Bokamoso Environmental Consultants, have already compiled a Scoping Report and we tried to include as many as possible specialist studies. We received comments from GDACE on the Scoping Report (SR) and additional specialist studies as well as a 2nd public meeting was requested. An amended SR will be submitted. GAUTRANS have approved the additional funds for the additional work and we can now continue with this process.

Mr.Larsen, representative of DKOA, indicated that he did not want to have a meeting before the legal issues of the road was resolved. However, many people phoned us and indicated that they wanted the road to be tarred as soon as possible due to safety and health reasons.

Lizelle Gregory
(Bokamoso
Landscape Architects
and Environmental
Consultants)

We recommended in our SR that the road be tarred and dangerous curves be removed. It is further recommended that only phase 1 be approved. The alignment of Phase 2 can only be finalized once the alignment of the K54 is finalized. Phase 1 would only be a single carriage way.

The request for a noise impact assessment has been discussed with GDACE and it was agreed that it was not required at this stage. It will be done at a later stage when the road is a dual carriage way.

The request for the tarring of Road 2383 was discussed with Chris Buitendag, GAUTRANS – he will discuss this issue during this meeting.

Alternative alignments are required for the Scoping process. Dr. Herman Joubert also has a proposal for a different alignment. Alternative alignments will be revisited at a later stage – at the moment only phase 1 will be done. Phase 2 to be finalized at a later stage. Once the alignment of the K54 is finalized an EIA will have to be done for phase 2 of Road 2382. The alignment of the K54 is not fixed – can take 20 years. A SER for roads is currently being compiled. GAUTRANS is trying to finalize the alignment of roads.

You are welcome to write your comments in the issues register – will be included in the amended SR.

2. Questions and comments

1. M & T has many contacts - they have amended their Rietvlei development to accommodate the K54.

It is not true that M&T have contacts in high places.

I & AP

Lizelle Gregory

<p>2. The current alignment of the K54 is not planned properly – it affects graveyards.</p> <p><i>VKE is busy with the preliminary design of the K54 – it is not part of our scope of work.</i></p>	<p>I & AP</p> <p>Lizelle Gregory</p>
<p>3. Where in the process of route determination are we now? Once route determination has been done it freezes development for an area of 200m on both sides. Affected landowners must have input before route determination is published. Dr. Joubert intends to submit a town planning application. The needs of affected properties must be taken into consideration. Input from GAUTRANS is required.</p>	<p>Dr. Herman Joubert</p>
<p>Route determination – must Gautrans go back to it or must they go ahead?</p>	<p>Chris Buitendag</p>
<p>Dr Joubert wants the road to be tarred as soon as possible. Comments from affected parties must be taken into consideration for the final alignment and the road must be built.</p>	<p>Dr. Herman Joubert</p>
<p>It was indicated that the Red line is the planned alignment – access issues were discussed.</p>	
<p>Dr. Joubert requested that the alignment follows a straight line over his property - compromise on his access. If the alignment is not published there is greater freedom to build a road. Dr. Joubert indicated that he discussed his comments with Gautrans and Nyeleti Consulting.</p>	<p>Dr. Joubert</p>
<p>4. Mr. Larsen – is the representative in the area. It is recommended that Mr. Larsen be ignored due to the fact that he did not attend the meeting.</p>	<p>I & AP</p>
<p>5. Mr. Bosch indicated that they were not</p>	<p>Mr. Bosch</p>

<p>informed of the proposed upgrading of Road 2382.</p> <p><i>It was explained that here are certain ways of informing people – ward councilors, representative. It is always an issue – people always say that they have not been informed.</i></p> <p>6. There were 4 issues raised in the previous meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I & AP were not interested in a dual road. • Road 2382 & 2383 to be tarred only • The section south of the “dead man’s corner” is not an official road and should not be tarred. • Off ramp at Apollo Highway <p>It is requested that consensus of people attending the meeting be asked – do they agree or not?</p> <p>It is recommended that both roads be tarred but not south of ‘dead man’s corner” unless some people want it to be tarred.</p> <p><i>The current proposal is for single way only. There are different opinions - people who want it tarred are mostly affected by dust, accidents etc. Also legal issues involved. With conflict the process is not going anywhere. There are issues between owners on the southern section. The question is asked whether people here agree with this or not.</i></p> <p>7. The legality of 2383 – if used 15 years it is a public road according to ordinance. 1st section is proclaimed.</p>	<p>Lizelle Gregory</p> <p>I & AP</p> <p>Lizelle Gregory</p> <p>Chris Buitendag</p>
--	--

<p>Only one carriage way is now proposed. A 40m road reserve will be applicable.</p> <p>A Dual carriageway – next phase in 20 – 30 years – new EIA process would be required.</p> <p>8. When will road be tarred?</p> <p><i>As soon as we received a ROD granting approval. If we receive no objections and the specialist studies completed the SR can be submitted in January 2007.</i></p> <p><i>If ROD pos – start with detail design and proclamation –approximately 2 years – tarring existing road.</i></p> <p><i>Phase 2 – detail design to be finalized if K54 is finalized – phase 2 will be planned in detail. A new EIA application will be done. Can not say how long for the alignment of K54 to be finalized. The access might change.</i></p>	<p>I & AP</p> <p>Lizelle Gregory</p>
<p>9. Mr. Buitendag indicated that Road 2383 will also be tarred by Gaautrans.</p>	<p>Chris Buitendag</p>
<p>The history of 2383 was explained – result of public hearing – bridge granted for community.</p>	<p>I & AP</p>
<p>10. It was mentioned that an off ramp at the K220 would mean a lot for the area. It is very important to support the proposed tarring of Road 2382 up to K220 in order to join the Apollo off ramp.</p> <p>It is asked when the K220 is planned.</p>	<p>I & AP</p>
<p><i>It is not currently on the 5 year plan.</i></p>	<p>Chris Buitendag</p>
<p>11. A spring will be affected by the K220.</p>	<p>Mr. Bob Dehning</p>

<p>12. It was indicated that the people attending the meeting supports the tarring of Road 2382 & 2382. Mr. Jean Duvenhage was proposed to also be a representative of the area.</p>	<p>I & AP</p>
<p><i>It is beneficial to have more than one representative in an area.</i></p>	<p>Lizelle Gregory</p>
<p>13. The road is not a legal road and Mr. Larsen's opinion must not be ignored.</p>	<p>Mr. Henri Joubert</p>
<p><i>Lizelle Gregory said that she did not say that Mr. Larsen must be ignored. However, the opinions of other people must also be heard.</i></p>	<p>Lizelle Gregory</p>
<p>15. Mr. Joubert does not agree with the comments from GAUTRANS regarding the Legal status of the road – it is recommended that I&AP & Gautrans meet on a legal ground.</p>	<p>Mr. Henri Joubert</p>
<p><i>If community don't want the road Gautrans will give money elsewhere.</i></p>	<p>Mr. Chris Buitendag</p>
<p>Mr. Joubert indicated that he is not satisfied with the legal issue.</p>	<p>Mr. Henri Joubert</p>
<p>16. Mnr. Larsen is the representative of DKOA. Mr. Deon v. Tonder – Councilor of Kungwini is introduced - he can also play an important role as representative.</p>	<p>I AP</p>
<p>17. The tarring of road 2383 & 2382 is very important.</p>	<p>I & AP</p>
<p>18. Legal issue – a servitude of a road can never be changed except through a proclamation process.</p>	<p>Dr. Herman Joubert</p>

<p>Gautrans will have to proclaim the road further to the south. Dr. Joubert indicated that he is 100% happy that this is part of the process and that it be handled as part of this process.</p>	
<p>19. If the southern section of the road is not tarred the condition will deteriorate.</p>	<p>Mr. Chris Buitendag</p>
<p>20. It is requested that the alignment of Road 2382 is parallel along the R21 in order to enable that a development can be accommodated. Dr. Joubert is not against proclamation but he is against the process and how they area being treated by GAUTRANS.</p>	<p>Dr. Herman Joubert</p>
<p>21. It is mentioned that 1 representative can not represent affected property owners – individuals must be informed. It is very important that the road be tarred and Mr. Oosthuizen indicated that he is not happy about the fact that his opinion is given to Bokamoso by a representative.</p>	<p>Mr. Nic Oosthuizen</p>
<p>22. It is recommended that I & AP and Gautrans meet regarding the legal issue of the road to try to resolve the issue.</p>	<p>Mr. Henri Joubert</p>
<p><i>It should be noted that road 2382 is not only a social issue – there is also a maintenance problem and the road is currently very dangerous. The road has to be tarred.</i></p>	<p>Lizelle Gregory</p>
<p>23. When will alignment in close proximity of K54 be finalized?</p>	<p>I & AP</p>
<p><i>We have submitted a SR for a section of the K54 two years ago - GDACE informed us that they are still busy with the review of the road network and cannot make a decision. Can not say when K54 alignment will be finalized. Red data ferns were identified in the proposed alignment.</i></p>	<p>Lizelle Gregory</p>

<p><i>It is confirmed that as part of phase 1 the existing road will only be tarred on the current alignment up to K54 (phase 2). The proposed alignments might not be necessary. People area advised to carry on with their lives – when alignment of K54 is finalized Gautrans will deal with affected parties.</i></p>	
<p>24. A question is asked about the access at the junction with the K54.</p>	<p>I & AP</p>
<p><i>There will be an official stop – a 3 way stop.</i></p>	<p>Mr. Chris Buitendag</p>
<p>25. What do you tell person when you sell property?</p>	<p>I & AP</p>
<p><i>You have to tell about road – however it can be in your advantage – property value can increase – could be identified as an activity spine</i></p>	<p>Mr. Chris Buitendag Lizelle Gregory</p>
<p>26. Rietvlei Ridge development – how will people get on the highway?</p>	<p>I & AP</p>
<p><i>ITS traffic engineers compiled a complete traffic impact study – road upgradings for the short and long term were recommended.</i></p>	<p>Lizelle Gregory</p>
<p>27. Mr. Bob Dehning informed the meeting on behalf of Mr. Larsen that Mr. Larsen ensures that e-mails be sent to everybody – he has a server and a DKOA website. I & AP requested a second public meeting in March 2006. However Mr. Larsen did not receive the requested information from Bokamoso.</p>	<p>Mr. Bob Dehning</p>
<p><i>Lizelle Gregory indicated that this is why we are having this meeting – to give information on the EIA process. We tried to address the issues in the SR with the limited funds that were available.</i></p>	<p>Lizelle Gregory</p>

<p>Mr. Dehning indicated that he did not agree and requested that he wanted to read the comments from GDACE.</p>	<p>Mr. Bob Dehning</p>
<p><i>LG said that he could go ahead to read the comments from GDACE.</i></p>	<p>Lizelle Gregory</p>
<p>28. Mr. Oosthuizen again said that he has a problem if the process is managed by the decisions of other people – each party must be able to give his opinion. He is worried that the process is being delayed by people who disagree on issues.</p>	<p>Mr. Oosthuizen</p>
<p><i>We do not want to replace Mr. Larsen and will address all issues. It seems as if there are different opinions and we had to have the meeting for the community. We will also not ignore the inputs from Mr. Dehning. Mr. Larsen does not drive in the evening – that is why he is not here tonight. We must try to solve the legal issues between Gautrans, Mr. Larsen & Mr. Joubert.</i></p>	<p>Lizelle Gregory</p>
<p>29. Mr. Dehning reads the comments from GDACE on the Scoping Report.</p>	<p>Mr. Bob Dehning</p>
<p><i>Lizelle Gregory indicates that sufficient money was not available for the additional studies and that we have only now received additional funds from GAUTRANS for the public meeting and additional studies. The comments are not so bad.</i></p>	<p>Lizelle Gregory</p>
<p><i>The Way forward: Complete additional studies. Issues and comments from I&AP & GDACE will be addressed in an amended SR. The Draft amended SR will be available for review by I & AP – possibly at tonight's venue. The final SR will then be submitted.</i></p>	

