

Subject

Cell: 082 626 4117 • Fax: 086 518 4885 E-mail: stephweb@mweb.co.za • P O Box 12460 • Clubview • 0014 1168 Ashwood Drive • Zwartkop Golf Estate • Clubview • Pretoria

## MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC MEETING HELD

## ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE 120 MVA CORNWALL SUBSTATION ON A PORTION OF PORTION 330 OF THE FARM DOORNKLOOF 391 JR NEW PROJECT REFERENCE NUMBER: (GAUT 002/09 – 10/N0476)

13 JANUARY 2010 FROM 18:00 TO 20:00

## Cornwall Hill College, Auditorium, Nellmapius Drive, Irene

	Discussion	Action
1	WELCOME & INTRODUCTION	<u> </u>
	Ms S Webber opened the meeting and welcomed all present. Ms Webber introduced herself as the facilitator who would chair the meeting. Ms Webber noted that the meeting will be held and presented in English; however stakeholders have the right to participate in the language of their choice, and she would translate as necessary. Ms Webber introduced the study team members.	1 has
	Applicant: - Mr Victor Mofela Consulting Electrical Engineer - Mr Robert Zietsman	
	<ul> <li>Specialist team:         <ul> <li>Galago Environmental Fauna &amp; Flora Specialists (Not present) Ms Webber to deliver findings of specialist studies through this presentation.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
2	PROPOSED AGENDA	
	The proposed agenda was accepted.	

Cornwall hill substation EIA Public Meeting Minutes



<ul> <li>Webber requested that the floor work via herself as the berson when asking questions. The public were asked to say hame for record-keeping.</li> <li>OSE OF THE MEETING</li> <li>/ebber explained the purpose of the meeting to include the ng:</li> <li>Understanding the need for the substation;</li> <li>Explanation of project closure and re-opening;</li> <li>Feedback of EIA findings (Based on Scoping Assessment); and</li> <li>Discussion with the public to receive information not contained within the current EIA findings</li> <li>Webber defined the discussions for the evening to pertain only to Cornwall Hill Substation, <i>not</i> the greater development. A separate</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Vebber explained the purpose of the meeting to include the ng:</li> <li>Understanding the need for the substation;</li> <li>Explanation of project closure and re-opening;</li> <li>Feedback of EIA findings (Based on Scoping Assessment); and</li> <li>Discussion with the public to receive information not contained within the current EIA findings</li> <li>Webber defined the discussions for the evening to pertain <b>only</b> to Cornwall Hill Substation, <i>not</i> the greater development. A separate</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Understanding the need for the substation;</li> <li>Explanation of project closure and re-opening;</li> <li>Feedback of EIA findings (Based on Scoping Assessment); and</li> <li>Discussion with the public to receive information not contained within the current EIA findings</li> <li>Vebber defined the discussions for the evening to pertain <b>only</b> to Cornwall Hill Substation, <i>not</i> the greater development. A separate</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Explanation of project closure and re-opening;</li> <li>Feedback of EIA findings (Based on Scoping Assessment); and</li> <li>Discussion with the public to receive information not contained within the current EIA findings</li> <li>Vebber defined the discussions for the evening to pertain only to Cornwall Hill Substation, <i>not</i> the greater development. A separate</li> </ul>	
Cornwall Hill Substation, not the greater development. A separate	
c meeting will be held for this application shortly.	
ECT DESCRIPTION	
ebber explained the need for the 120 MVA substation. The need ed the additional capacity creation and supply to the electrical rk in the Irene / Rietvlei area. This additional capacity will serve proposed developments in the area.	
Scoping Phase of the application was explained by Ms Webber. coping phase was initiated in January 2009, followed by a public ng for the application in February 2009. The Scoping Report was available for public review in March 2009 – April 2009, and tted to the authority in May 2009.	
Webber went on to explain why the EIA file was closed. The ng & EIA application for the 120 MVA substation was to be ed by a Basic Assessment Application for a 88kV over head line from the Cornwall Hill substation, to the Essellen substation nuleni), and associated 88kV Cornwall Hill substation. During the	
	ig for the application in February 2009. The Scoping Report was available for public review in March 2009 – April 2009, and ted to the authority in May 2009. ebber went on to explain why the EIA file was closed. The ing & EIA application for the 120 MVA substation was to be ed by a Basic Assessment Application for a 88kV over head







A description of the feasible alternatives for the project was given by Ms Webber. These alternatives included the location, size, structure and No-go alternatives. Three (3) sites were investigated for the placement of the substation. The first 2 sites are located at, and adjacent to the original reserved erf for the substation. Position 2 was located outside of the existing power line servitude, as the applicant required the power line and substation infrastructure on site to have their own servitudes.

The third location for the substation was adjacent the R21 freeway. This alternative was however classified as not feasible, due to the greater visual impact of the substation on the adjacent Cornwall hill Estate, and the potential for the servitude to be located within the buffer zones of the artificial wetland system along the eastern boundary of the greater study site.

The size of the substations were discussed as  $100m \times 100m$  (outside) versus  $40m \times 40m$  (inside). The visual impact of the outside (steel intensive) versus inside (architecturally more pleasing) infrastructure was also discussed, however, the applicant does not support the indoor substation (council standard is an outdoor structure for maintenance and economical reasons), and therefore, the indoor option has been ruled out as a feasible alternative for the substation.

A summary of the ecological findings was presented by Ms Webber on the ecological sensitivity map produced by Galago Environmental. Ms Webber indicated that the full specialist study reports would be available for review for a period of 30 days in the EIR. The preferred site for the substation is classified as having a low ecological sensitivity, and therefore, the construction of the substation at this site can be supported from an ecological point of view.

A summary of the socio-Economic findings was discussed by Ms Webber. The visual impact of the substation was classified as high without mitigation. However, effective mitigation measures such as potential future surrounding development, landscaping and location, can reduce the visual impact of the substation. As an added measure for purposes of visual illustration, Geopower Electrical engineers kindly erected 2 weather balloons at the substation site. These balloons were to indicate the location, and height if the substation infrastructure.

The noise impact of a new 120MVA substation would be of low significance due to the distance of the substation from the nearest Cornwall Hill residents, and could further be mitigated through effective design of acoustic walls around the power transformers.

The outcome of the specialist property evaluation study conducted by Val-Co Property Valuers, indicated that development on the greater study, (Portion 330 Doornkloof 391 JR), would not negatively impact on



	property prices within the adjacent Cornwall Hill Estate. The full specialist study will be available for review for a period of 30 days in the EIR. Ms Webber concluded her presentation by giving her Environmental Impact Statement as positive authorisation for the construction of the	
	120 MVA Cornwall Hill substation, in its preferred location, and subject to compliance with the Environmental Management Plan, and other findings which become relevant through the decision making phase.	
6	DISCUSSION	
	Ms Webber opened the floor to questions regarding the Cornwall Hill Substation.	
	No issues were highlighted by the attendees.	
7	WAY FORWARD	
	The draft EIR would be made available to the registered parties shortly. Comments on the full specialist studies will be received following the 30 day review period. The draft EIR will be finalized, and the final EIR will be submitted to GDARD for final decision making.	
8	CLOSING	
	Ms Webber thanked the public for their time and valued input.	